Are simulated disk galaxies robust to collisional relaxation?

Matthew Wilkinson Aaron Ludlow, Claudia Lagos, Michael Fall, Joop Schaye, & Danail Obreschkow

Centre for

Research

Radio





International Astronomy



Sources: APOD; Bob Franke; Bob and Janice Fera; Alvin Hueyn; Luca Limatola; Paul Gardner; Rogelio Bernal Andreo; Robert Gendler; Roberto Colombari; Hubble Heritage Team (STSci/AURA); NASA; ESA; ESO; Hubble; Great Basin Observatory; Subaru Telescope

Simulated disk galaxies

 $M_{DM} = 8 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$ B/T = 0.29 $M_{_{DM}} = 4.5 \times 10^{5} M_{_{\odot}}$ 21 $M_{DM} = 9.7 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$ $M_{DM} = 3.3 \times 10^3 - 10^3$ 1.7 x 10⁶ M_o

NewHorizon: Park et al. 2021

TNG50 (z=2): Pillepich et al. 2019

EAGLE: Shaye et al. 2015

Convergence at cosmological resolutions



Which stars are disk stars?

All disk stars must be on reasonably circular orbits.

- A good way to quantify this is with ellipticity, $j_z/j_c(E)$.
- e.g. disks $jz/jc(E) \ge 0.7$
- Incompatible with observations (Peebles 2020)
- Galaxy disks should mostly be supported by rotation: $\sigma > v$





N-body experiments



Suite of 43 N-body simulations Numbers of particles (2x10⁴ to 2x10⁶) Particle mass ratios (1, 5, 25)Concentrations Initial disk heights Halo masses Live / static halo

First used in Ludlow et al. 2021

Visual morphology

 ${f N_{
m DM}}\,{=}\,1.8{ imes}10^{6}\ m_{
m DM}\,{=}\,10^{6.0}\,\,{
m M}_{\odot}$

 ${
m N_{DM}} = 1.8 imes 10^5 \ m_{
m DM} = 10^{7.0} \ {
m M}_{\odot}$

 ${f N_{
m DM}}\,{=}\,1.8{ imes}10^4 \ m_{
m DM}\,{=}\,10^{8.0}\,\,{
m M}_{\odot}$

High resolution

t = 0 Gyr

t = 5 Gyr

Intermediate resolution t = 0 Gyr

Low resolution

Disk is

unrecognisable

t = 0 Gyr

t = 5 Gyr

t = 5 Gyr

Velocity evolution



An effective model



Kinematic Morphology



Scaling relations are not recovered correctly



12

Collisionful (non-collsionless) dynamics



13

Scattering causes spurious evolution



Heating mimics cosmological phenomena



Predicted spheroid growth



Wilkinson et al. 2022, arXiv:2208.07623

Conclusion

Spurious heating does occur in simulated disk galaxies. This is a consequence of physics introduced by their reduced resolution.

t = 5 Gyr

We model heating with the properties of the DM halo.

Below the mass range that corresponds to NDM=10⁶, simulated morphologies are contaminated by numerical heating. Smaller radii require even higher resolution.

Our models contain no astrophysical sources of heating, but also no star formation.

With this work, we have a better understanding of where our simulations are reliable.



 $t = 5 \,\mathrm{Gyr}$

 $t = 5 \,\,\mathrm{Gvr}$

Bonus slide: Gravitational softening (experimental)



Bonus slide: Static halo



18

Angular momentum randomisation



Scattering causes spurious spheroid growth

Heating mimics cosmological phenomena



Disk fraction



Wilkinson et al. submitted

Simulated disk galaxy population?



 κ_{co} = fraction of kinetic energy in <u>co-rotation</u>

Rotational energy

