

International Centre for Radio Astronomy Research



Source counts and confusion across the MWA GLEAM 72-231 MHz band

Tom Franzen | Postdoctoral Researcher ICRAR-Curtin University 19 July 2017





THE UNIVERSITY OF Western Australia



- 1. GLEAM source counts at 88, 118, 154 & 200 MHz as tracers of source population behaviour
- 2. Relative contribution of system noise, classical confusion & sidelobe confusion in GLEAM



- Radio source counts embody information about extragalactic source populations and their evolution (i.e. space density) over cosmic time
- Knowledge of low-frequency sky (< 200 MHz) poor compared with that at 1.4 GHz
- Low-frequency surveys sensitive to sources with steep synchrotron spectra, unbiased by relativistic beaming effects complementary view to GHz surveys
- Low-frequency counts useful for interpretation of EoR data



Compilation of 1.4 GHz counts by de Zotti et al. (2009)



- Final GLEAM image products include 4 deep wideband mosaics centred at 88, 118, 154 and 200 MHz
- Use GLEAM extragalactic catalogue by Hurley-Walker et al. (2016) to measure counts at 200 MHz
 - 307,455 components detected in 200 MHz mosaic
 - $_{\circ}$ Covers area of 24,831 deg² below Dec +30°
- Construct additional, complete source samples at 88, 118 & 154 MHz to measure counts at these frequencies
- Correct counts for incompleteness, Eddington bias and source blending using Monte Carlo simulations



GLEAM multi-frequency counts





Comparison with other counts at 154 MHz



- Below ~1 Jy, TGSS counts are ≈ 10% lower than GLEAM counts
- May be due to missing low surface brightness emission in TGSS
- GLEAM resolution at 154 MHz is ≈ 3 arcmin
- TGSS resolution is 25 arcsec



Comparison with other counts at 88 MHz



- TGSS and VLSSr counts agree within 2-3%
- GLEAM resolution at 88 MHz is ≈ 5 arcmin
- VLSSr resolution is 75 arcsec

Dependence of spectral index on flux density and frequency



CRAI

- At S₁₅₄ > 0.5 Jy, no significant change in shape of GLEAM counts at 88, 118, 154 and 200 MHz
- Spectral index scaling of ≈ -0.8 provides remarkably good match between counts
- No evidence of any flattening in average spectral index with decreasing frequency
- Below 0.5 Jy, tentative evidence that flatter spectral index provides better match between counts



- Select 53,079 sources with $S_{200} > 0.1$ Jy in deepest $\approx 6,500$ deg² region of GLEAM
- Statistically complete sample with good quality sub-band fluxes
- 97% of these sources have 70-230 MHz spectra that are well fit by power law



Source counts and confusion across the MWA GLEAM 72-231 MHz band



LOFAR + MWA counts at 154 MHz





- SKADS model by Wilman et al. (2008) in wide use to optimise SKA design
- Also valuable tool in interpretation of existing radio surveys
- · 151 MHz SKADS model significantly underpredicts GLEAM counts
 - ∘ Number of sources underpredicted by ≈ 50% at 2 Jy
- Possible explanations:
 - $_{\odot}$ Excessive curvature assumed in spectra of simulated sources
 - $_{\odot}$ Limited volume being sampled biases against rare, bright sources



Comparison with 151 MHz SKADS model

Comparison with 610 MHz SKADS model extrapolated to 154 MHz assuming α = -0.8



Limits of noise and confusion in **GLEAM**



Errors in low-frequency images

3 basic sources of error in a low-frequency image formed with an array:

- System noise
- Classical confusion
- Sidelobe confusion



Sky temperature at 154 MHz

CLASSICAL confusion:

Combined signal from many random faint sources within synthesised beam



SIDELOBE confusion: Noise in image due to undeconvolved (or poorly deconvolved) sources.





Sensitivity across MWA band close to zenith



Section of 170-231 MHz GLEAM image centred on CDFS - cold region of extragalactic sky

Thermal noise estimated from Stokes V mosaics - negligible at all frequencies \rightarrow Excess background noise due to a combination of classical & sidelobe confusion



Estimating the classical confusion noise

Method of probability of deflection or P(D) analysis

$$R(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} \frac{dN}{dS} \left(\frac{x}{B(\theta, \phi)} \right) B(\theta, \phi)^{-1} d\Omega dx$$
$$P(D) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\exp\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} R(x) \exp\left(i\omega x\right) dx - \int_{0}^{\infty} R(x) dx \right) \right] \qquad \text{Vernstrom et al. (2014)}$$





- - 610 MHz SKADS model extrapol. to 154 MHz

Source P(D) distributions





- Estimate classical confusion noise from core width of source P(D) distribution
- Convolve source P(D) distribution with Gaussian representing thermal noise to obtain theoretical noise limit



\rightarrow Background noise primarily due to sidelobe confusion at higher frequencies

Possible origins for sidelobe confusion: limited CLEANing depth, source smearing due to ionosphere, far-field sources that have not been deconvolved



Improvements using WSClean 2.4

- WSClean 2.4 faster thanks to Clark optimisation
- CLEAN snapshots deeper & increase size of region CLEANed
- CLEAN entire image to 3σ, then CLEAN with mask from identified components to 1σ
- Results in ≈ 30% reduction in noise
- Implemented in GLEAM year 2 data reduction pipeline



- WSClean 1.10 (≈ 25,000 iterations, 40 deg image size)
- WSClean 2.4 (≈ 220,000 iterations, 40 deg image size)
- WSClean 2.4 (≈ 250,000 iterations, 55 deg image size)
- Theoretical limit



MWA phase 2

128 new tiles

- 72 in two compact hexagons in core for enhanced EoR capability •
- 56 on baselines up to 6 km for enhanced survey & imaging capability •
- Commissioning to start end of this year ٠





- Derive GLEAM source counts at 200, 154, 118 & 88 MHz to high precision
 - $_{\circ}~$ Sensitive to extended emission missed by other surveys
 - \circ Spectral index scaling of ≈ -0.8 provides remarkably good match between counts
- SKADS model significantly underpredicts 154 MHz counts
- Future work: measure local radio luminosity function of AGN and star-forming galaxies at 154 MHz
- Excess background noise in GLEAM primarily due to sidelobe confusion
 highlights need for further improvements in deconvolution imaging techniques
- Possibility of conducting sub-mJy continuum surveys with MWA phase 2